

## AGENDA

### **UW-GREEN BAY FACULTY SENATE MEETING NO. 1**

Wednesday, September 11, 2013

Alumni Rooms AB, 3:00 p.m.

Presiding Officer: Greg Davis, Speaker

Parliamentarian: Clifford Abbott

#### **1. CALL TO ORDER**

#### **2. APPROVAL OF MINUTES OF FACULTY SENATE MEETING NO. 10 May 8, 2013 [page 2]**

#### **3. CHANCELLOR'S REPORT**

#### **4. NEW BUSINESS**

- a. Election of Deputy Speaker of the Senate for 2013-14
- b. Memorial Resolution for Emeritus Professor David Dolan [page 5]  
Presented by Michael Draney
- c. Four-Year Course Review [page 6]  
Presented by Andrew Kersten
- d. Code Change on General Education Council [page 7]  
Presented by Bryan Vescio
- e. Request for future business

#### **5. PROVOST'S REPORT**

#### **6. OTHER REPORTS**

- a. University Committee Annual Report for 2012-13 [page 8]  
Presented by former UC Chair Derek Jeffreys
- b. University Committee Report - Presented by UC Chair Bryan Vescio
- c. Academic Staff Report - Presented by Anne Buttke
- d. Student Government Report - Presented by Heba Mohammad

#### **7. ADJOURNMENT**

[draft]

**MINUTES 2012-2013**  
**UW-GREEN BAY FACULTY SENATE MEETING NO. 10**

Wednesday, May 8, 2013  
Room 103, University Union

Presiding Officer: Bryan Vescio, Speaker of the Senate  
Parliamentarian: Clifford Abbott

PRESENT: Francis Akakpo (SOWORK), Andrew Austin (DJS), Kimberly Baker (HUB), Michael Draney (NAS), Heidi Fencl (NAS), Thomas Harden (Chancellor *ex officio*), Jenell Holstead (HUD), Tonmoy Islam (URS), Derek Jeffreys (HUS-UC), Mimi Kubsch (NUR-UC), J. Vincent Lowery (HUS), Michelle McQuade-Dewhirst (MUS), Steve Meyer (NAS-UC), Kaoime Malloy (Theatre and Dance), Chris Martin (HUS), Ryan Martin (HUD-UC), Cristina Ortiz (HUS), Uwe Pott (HUB), Chuck Rybak (HUS), Mussie Teclezion (BUA), Christine Vandenhouten (NURS), Bryan Vescio (HUS-UC), Julia Wallace (Provost, *ex officio*), Georjeanna Wilson-Doenges (HUD).

NOT PRESENT: Forrest Baulieu (ICS), Franklin Chen (NAS), Susan Cooper (EDU), Greg Davis (NAS-UC), Adolfo Garcia (ICS), Michael Knight (BUA), Arthur Lacey (EDU), Jennifer Mokren (AND), and Laurel Phoenix (PEA).

REPRESENTATIVES: Heba Mohammad, Student Government; Emily Rogers, Academic Staff

GUESTS: Scott Furlong, Sue Mattison, Andrew Kersten, Rajeev Bukralia, Doreen Higgins, Patricia Terry, David Dolan, Jolanda Sallmann.

**1. CALL TO ORDER.** Speaker Vescio called the Senate meeting to order at 3:05 p.m.

**2. APPROVAL OF MINUTES for Faculty Senate Meeting No. 9, April 24, 2013.** Speaker Vescio asked for any comments or corrections to the minutes and, upon hearing none, declared the minutes approved.

**3. CHANCELLOR'S REPORT** [The Chancellor was delayed so his report actually occurred right after the Faculty Rep's report, item 6a - see below.]

**4. NEW BUSINESS**

a. Election of Speaker of the Senate for 2013-14. Speaker Vescio called for nominations and almost as if it had been preplanned, a couple of University Committee members leapt into action. **Senator Meyer (Senator Jeffreys second) nominated Senator Davis to be Speaker of the Senate for 2013-14.** Senator Davis was not in attendance, apparently placing a higher priority on the birth of a grandchild than on being present for the warm glow of the Senate's approbation. **He was elected unanimously (21-0-0).**

b. Resolution on Master of Social Work Program. Social Work Chair Jolanda Sallmann provided some background on the history of the MSW collaboration with UW-Oshkosh since its beginning in 2003. The program was begun as a collaboration because neither school had enough resources to meet accreditation requirements but the program has been well enrolled, has generated revenue, and now has more applicants than can be accommodated. The proposal is to end the collaboration in favor of separate programs at both institutions. Questions from senators and responses from administrators clarified the funding for additional staffing requirements. The program has full-time and part-time components and the latter operates on a revenue generating, cost-recovery basis. **Senator Ryan Martin (Senator Ortiz second) moved adoption of the resolution and it passed without further discussion (21-0-0).**

c. Request for future business. Speaker Vescio made the standard request and got a fairly typical non-response.

**5. PROVOST'S REPORT** The Provost, having delivered a summary of most of the year's good news at the previous meeting, opted for general thanks to the Senate and particularly its hard-working executive committee, the University Committee. At this point in the meeting the sun seemed to go behind a cloud.

## **6. OTHER REPORTS**

### a. Faculty Rep's Report.

Senator Meyer delivered a fairly depressing account of the prior week's meeting which focused almost exclusively on the political consequences of the Legislative Audit Bureau's reporting on UW budget reserves. He recounted the money involved, the various degrees to which most of it is already committed, the complexity of the accounting (22,000 accounts with 130,000 subaccounts throughout UW), the general opposing stances of the legislature and higher education accreditors, and the likely political fallout - a two year freeze on tuition, the loss of some or all of the proposed addition of 181 million dollars in the UW budget, and the possible loss of proposed flexibilities, particularly for a pay plan. Senators struggled to make sense of both the legislative vindictiveness and the UW-System's inability to advocate on our behalf.

The Chancellor arrived in the midst of this darkness and was asked for his report. He was disheartened about the attack (instead of discuss) mode of some legislators and about the way that what is basically a communication problem ("...but you didn't tell us about this") may result in such a loss of resources that took so long to argue for. He talked about the local efforts to counteract what now appears to be a political steamroller. He searched for some good news to report and came up with three items: no one has impugned our local fiscal stewardship; the basketball investigation is being handled very professionally (although its outcome is as yet unknown); and we'll have a record number of graduates. The senators, however, dragged him back to trying to make sense of the budget. There were questions on whether hope is gone for pay raises (not necessarily because it remains a top priority to find some way to get a pay plan or at least more market adjustments) and on whether anything can be done with UW-System advocacy (the System's standard response is not to challenge the legislature).

Senator Draney shifted the topic a bit and asked whether the Chancellor had a response to the resolution passed at the last Senate meeting about having a faculty liaison with the Chancellor's Cabinet. He said he was not yet prepared to respond.

Finally the Chancellor recognized the leadership of the Speaker of the Senate, Bryan Vescio, and the Chair of the University Committee, Derek Jeffreys, with gratitude and token gifts to the applause of the Senate. The sun began to come out from behind the clouds.

b. University Committee Report. Chair Jeffreys promised a full annual report later but he took the opportunity to thank his fellow members of the UC and Heba Mohammad for their dedication. He also announced that the UC had chosen Bryan Vescio as its chair for next year and Steve Meyer will continue as Faculty Rep to UW-System.

c. Academic Staff Report. Emily Rogers reported that the Academic Staff Assembly had been held recently. The Academic Staff Committee is working currently on filling committee positions and making a survey of barriers to career progression among the academic staff.

d. Student Government Report. Heba Mohammad reported on some of the issues discussed at a transition meeting to develop long term goals and agenda items for student government for next year. These include childcare issues, faculty advising, and faculty and staff compensation. There had recently been an opportunity for student government to meet with dining consultants to recommend changes. A plan to add fruit trees to the multipurpose area has to deal with the possibility of student misuse of fruit (be careful where you throw that fruit). And the role of faculty liaison to student government is still open and unfilled.

e. Academic Affairs Council Report. The Speaker noted the written report and the AAC Chair, Senator Malloy, noted in addition that the AAC still has some business yet to conclude this semester.

**7. ADJOURNMENT** The Speaker adjourned the meeting at 3:55 p.m., ending the year's business for the Senate.

Respectfully submitted,

Clifford Abbott, Secretary of the Faculty and Academic Staff

## Memorial Resolution for Professor David M. Dolan

Professor David Dolan died unexpectedly on Tuesday, June 18, 2013. David is survived by his wife Mary, sons Andrew and Frederick, and four grandchildren. He was an integral part of the UW-Green Bay community since his arrival here in 1999, and his positive contributions and generous presence will be greatly missed.

David was born September 12, 1949, in Detroit, MI, the son of David Lawrence Dolan and Rosemary (Lytle) Dolan. He grew up in Detroit with his six siblings and attended schools there. David earned a B.S. in Chemical Engineering and an M.S. in Environmental Engineering at Notre Dame University, an M.A. in Statistics at the University of Michigan, and a Ph.D. in Mathematics at McMaster University in Hamilton, Ontario. Prior to joining UW-Green Bay, he worked for many years as an Environmental Statistician for the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency and the International Joint Commission. With many colleagues and student collaborators, Dolan published over 30 scientific articles and book chapters in addition to presenting or coauthoring approximately 100 presentations at scientific conferences. David's primary focus was on phosphorus cycling in Great Lakes ecosystems, and his skills as a statistician contributed greatly to an understanding of these processes, which are of great day-to-day importance to everyone who lives in the Great Lakes region. Dave was a member of the International Association of Great Lakes Research (IAGLR) since 1976, and served on the Board of Directors. Both David and his wife Mary were involved in organizing their annual meetings, including hosting the meeting at UW-Green Bay in 2001. David won many awards for his research and scientific writing, including a Bronze Medal and Scientific and Technological Achievement Awards from the US Environmental Protection Agency; the Editor's Award, the Anderson-Everett Award, and the Highly Cited Author Award from IAGLR and the Journal of Great Lakes Research; and David was last year's (2012's) winner of the UW-Green Bay Founder's Association Award for Excellence in Scholarship.

Dave joined the faculty of the University of Wisconsin-Green Bay in Fall semester 1999, and attained the rank of full professor in 2012. His contribution to the missions of the Department of Natural and Applied Sciences and the Environmental Science and Policy graduate program can hardly be overstated. No one was involved with more student research projects than Dave, and he taught statistical reasoning to a generation of environmental scientists in our region.

Dave was a very social person, a man who seemed to genuinely enjoy being around others, for almost any occasion. He believed in people. His belief in individual people made him a great teacher and advisor. He also had an unshakeable belief in groups of people, in the power of doing things together, the power of unity. He was a member of the Faculty Senate and the University Committee from 2009 through 2012. He was also a stalwart union man, and was active in UWGB-United, the faculty and staff union, and its predecessor organization, TAUWP. David was instrumental in establishing the Joint Committee on Workload and Compensation, and was recently serving as Chair of the Committee and liaison between the CWC and UWGB-United. Dave was a living example of the power of collective action, and inspired many of us to join him in his efforts.

Dave was the *de facto* social director of the Department of Natural and Applied Sciences and the Environmental Science and Policy graduate program. He was always exhorting us to get together, whether to commiserate or celebrate, and was constantly organizing group outings to concerts, sporting events, and dive bars. Dave was a serious student of blues music and an absolutely loyal Detroit Redwings fan. But above all, he was a very generous friend who brought a radiant joy of life to those who were fortunate enough to know him.

-Michael L. Draney

**Faculty Senate New Business 4b 9/11/2013**

Proposal Draft

**Four-Year Course Review**

Rationale: In order to maintain the integrity of our UW-Green Bay catalog and in order to ensure that the courses that students see in the UW-Green Bay catalog are offered on a regular basis, we propose a periodic review of the course array to find courses that have not been taught in four academic years. After these courses are identified, faculty members would have the opportunity to make courses that are no longer taught inactive.

Process:

1. The Associate Provost for Academic Affairs asks the Registrar to provide a list of courses not taught for the last four years.
2. The Associate Provost brings this list to the Academic Affairs Council.
3. The Chair of the AAC then contacts by email the chairs of the programs that have courses on the list.
4. The program chair then discusses the courses with the program faculty members who decide to do one of two things: 1) make the courses inactive, which would keep the courses available for re-activation in the future but would render them invisible to students who are looking at the catalog; or 2) leave the courses unchanged.
5. If changes are needed, the chair fills out the proper course change paperwork and transmits it to the appropriate dean who then sends it to the Secretary of the Faculty and Academic Staff (SOFAS).
6. The SOFAS then sends the paperwork to the AAC for action. Following AAC approval, the Registrar would make the courses inactive.

Important Notes:

1. Departments are not required to make any course or curricular changes relating to this Four-Year Course Review.
2. Catalog changes for courses are implemented for the following fall semester.

## **Code Change for General Education Council**

### **Existing Code:**

#### **54.03 C. General Education Council**

1. The General Education Council shall provide advice to the Faculty Senate and its executive committee, the University Committee.
2. The General Education Council may establish sub-committees for each General Education program component without an otherwise established governance or administrative structure. Such sub-committees will have delegated responsibilities for curriculum development and regular course review.
3. Changes in General Education requirements may be initiated by the General Education Council, after consultation with the faculty groups and sub-committees affected, and are subject to approval by the Faculty Senate.

The General Education Council will advise the Faculty Senate on all matters pertaining to General Education, including but not limited to courses appropriate to the General Education Curriculum (See 53.11 D and 53.11 E).

4. On its own initiative, or upon the request of the University Committee, the General Education Council may advise the Faculty Senate about issues of General Education requirements that fall within the jurisdiction of the Faculty.

### **Code Proposed by GEC (4-4-13):**

1. The General Education Council shall provide advice to the Provost/Vice Chancellor, Associate Deans, and Deans on all aspects related to the general education curriculum.
2. The General Education Council will establish and manage the model for assessment and review of the general education curriculum.
3. The General Education Council may establish sub-committees for each General Education program component without an otherwise established governance or administrative structure. Such sub-committees will have delegated responsibilities as determined by the GEC.
4. Changes in General Education requirements may be initiated by the General Education Council, after consultation with the faculty groups and sub-committees affected, and are subject to approval by the Faculty Senate.
5. On its own initiative, or upon the request of the University Committee, the General Education Council may advise the Faculty Senate about issues of General Education requirements that fall within the jurisdiction of the Faculty.

**Faculty Senate New Business 4d 9/11/2013**

**Committee Annual Summary Report**  
**The University of Wisconsin, Green Bay University Committee**  
**2012-2013**  
**Derek S. Jeffreys, Chair**

**General Evaluation**

During the 2012-2013, the University Committee met every Wednesday from 3:00-5:00 p.m. except during the Faculty Senate meetings and holidays. We also convened for one summer meeting with the Chancellor. Members of the University Committee included Greg Davis, Derek S. Jeffreys (Chair), Mimi Kubsch, Ryan Martin, Steven Meyer, Bryan Vescio (Speaker of the Faculty Senate), Leif Nelson and Kristy Aoki (Academic Staff Representatives), and Heba Mohammad (Student Government Association Representative).

The University Committee had a busy and productive 2012-2013 academic year. I was impressed with how well we worked together. This year's University Committee included people with a great deal of experience with university governance. They were very knowledgeable about higher education. They were always willing to talk through an issue carefully before taking action. Members exhibited the virtue of thoughtful deliberation. Without hesitation, they also expressed concerns to the Provost and the Chancellor. I am grateful to my colleagues for their able service to the University.

In general, this year's University Committee aimed at developing greater communication with administrators and faculty. To this end, it met regularly with the Provost and several times with the Chancellor. The University Committee also streamlined course approval processes and university assessment procedures. Finally, we promptly communicated with other committees and quickly shared information with the Faculty Senate. The following are the major projects that occupied our attention this year:

**Fall, 2012**

1. **UW System Flexible Degree Option.** The University Committee devoted many meetings to considering the UW System's proposal for Flexible Degree Option. Members held that it raised serious questions about the aims and methods of education. They also worried that the UW system was rushing to implement the Flexible Degree Program, and failing to go through proper faculty governance procedures. After receiving information about this proposal, we invited Mark Nook, Senior Vice President for Academic & Student Affairs, to speak to the Faculty Senate. Following an extended discussion with Mr. Nook, the University Committee crafted a two-part resolution on the proposed Flexible Degree program. It recommended that UWGB refrain from participating in the program and refuse to send a representative to a committee constructing it. The Faculty Senate affirmed the first part of the resolution while rejecting the second half. Although the Faculty Senate declined to participate in the Flexible Degree Program, it appointed Professor Brian Sutton as a representative to the Flexible Degree Committee. Professor Sutton met with the University Committee to report on his experiences with the committee. UC member Steve Meyer, who served as Faculty Representative to the UW system, also reported regularly on the progress of the Flexible Degree program.



2. **General Education Reform.** Working with Dean Scott Furlong, the University Committee brought a General Education Reform proposal before the Faculty Senate. After a vigorous discussion, the Senate passed the proposal. We then monitored the implementation of the new General Education curriculum.

3. **Other Business.** The University Committee met with Kim Desotell to discuss faculty complaints about the Phuture Phoenix program. She agreed to develop a formal letter that students could use to excuse themselves from class when they were active in Phuture Phoenix activities. We also met several times with Associate Provost Andrew Kersten and Academic Affairs Committee Chair, Kaoime Malloy. They consulted on course and program approval, and created greater transparency about both these procedures. Working with Professor Illene Cupit, the University Committee also brought a revised proposal for a University Honors Program to the Faculty Senate. The Senate approved this proposal. We also met with Secretary of the Faculty Cliff Abbott to discuss how to better implement the Professional Activities Report. Finally, the University Committee met with Steven VandenAvond to learn more about the Adult Degree Program. We discussed ways to better integrate the Adult Degree program with traditional degree offerings.

### **Spring, 2013**

1. **Research Center.** The University Committee devoted considerable time to discussing the possibility of developing a UWGB research center. After consulting with faculty members, deans and others, the UC embraced the idea of a center resembling the current CATL center. It would seek to enhance faculty research at UWGB. The center would be located on campus, and would serve as a locus for information about grants and faculty scholarship. The proposed center would also offer several course releases for faculty members assisting it. One might be granted to a statistician helping faculty with statistical work. Another course release might go to someone familiar with grant-writing. A final one might be accorded for administrative duties. In the 2013-2014 academic year the University Committee will develop a formal proposal for a UWGB Research Center.

2. **Engineering Technology Programs.** Working with faculty members and administrators, the University Committee approved new programs in Engineering Technology. After extensive discussion, the Faculty Senate also approved them.

3. **Assessment.** The University Committee worked with Professors Andrew Kersten and Angela Bauer as they developed new assessment tools. We also met with Dean Sue Mattison to discuss assessment procedures. Professors Kersten and Bauer also shared their plans for the upcoming HLC visit. Finally, the University Committee discussed the HLC process in several meetings with the Provost.

4. **Other Business.** The University Committee met with the Chancellor to discuss the UW System budget. It also worked with the Social Work program to dissolve the Collaborative Program in Social Work. Additionally, the University Committee crafted a resolution on Workload and Compensation, which the Faculty Senate then approved. Finally, we also held a Faculty Senate Forum on University Advising.

Respectfully Submitted,

Derek S. Jeffreys